



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,646		10/25/2000	Jouko Tenhunen	442-009870-US(PAR)	3188
2512	7590	09/10/2003			
PERMAN & GREEN				EXAMINER	
425 POST ROAD				YUN, EUGENE	
FAIRFIELD	, C1 068	24		101, 2002.12	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2682	
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ch

		(,)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	09/696,646	TENHUNEN, JOUKO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Eugene Yun	2682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2000 is/are:	· · · · · ·	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the companies of the companies of	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S Patent and Trademark Office			_

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/696,646

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasper (5,177,780 "IDS") in view of Kaisto (WO 96/25817 "IDS").

Referring to Claim 1, Kasper teaches a method for erasing a notification message in a terminal, which terminal communicates with a network over a radio interface, which method comprises:

storing a specific first information in a specific system outside the terminal (lines 5-7 of ABSTRACT);

storing said notification message in a memory of the terminal (see lines 7-8 of ABSTRACT), wherein the method comprises:

contacting from the terminal a specific address for gaining access to said first information (see last 4 lines of ABSTRACT); and

erasing from the memory of the terminal said notification message in response to a specific procedure relating to said contacting (see last 4 lines of ABSTRACT).

Kasper does not teach transmitting to the terminal over said radio interface a notification message as a sign of said storing. Kaisto teaches transmitting to the terminal over said radio interface a notification message as a sign of said storing (see last 2 lines of

Application/Control Number: 09/696,646

Art Unit: 2682

ABSTRACT). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Kaisto to said method of Kasper in order to better assure that a subscriber of a cellular network receives all waiting messages.

Referring to Claim 16, the limitations of the Claim are similar to the limitations of the method of Claim 1. The combination of Kaisto and Kasper teaches all the limitations of the Claim for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of Claim 1.

Referring to Claim 2, Kaisto also teaches the first information to which information said terminal gains access is stored in the specific system outside the terminal, for said terminal (see ABSTRACT).

Referring to Claim 3, Kaisto also teaches the first information as a message intended for the terminal, which is stored for the terminal in an electric format in the specific system outside the terminal (see first 3 lines of ABSTRACT).

Referring to Claim 4, Kaisto also teaches the message intended for the terminal as one of the following: voice message; video recording message; multimedia message; fax; electronic mail message (see ABSTRACT).

Referring to Claim 7, Kaisto also teaches wherein contacting from the terminal said specific address for gaining access to said first information is effected by making a call from the terminal to a specific number (see ABSTRACT); and

erasing the notification message is effected in response to one of the following procedures: making a call to said specific number; said specific system outside the terminal answering the call; terminating off said call (see pg. 4, par. [0060]).

Application/Control Number: 09/696,646

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claims 6 and 8, Narusawa also teaches storing in the memory of the terminal said specific number by calling of which the call will connect to said system outside the terminal;

checking when making a call from the terminal, whether the number which is called is said specific number by comparing the number which is called to said specific number stored in the terminal (see ABSTRACT); and

if the number which is called is said specific number, identifying from among the messages that originate from said system outside the terminal, and erasing the identified notification messages (see pg. 4, par. [0060]).

Referring to Claim 9, Kaisto also teaches at least two specific numbers by calling of which the call will connect to said system outside the terminal (see pg. 11, lines 1-9).

Referring to Claim 10, Kaisto also teaches the identification of notification messages carried out by comparing the CLI data (Calling Line Identity) of the messages stored in the memory of the terminal to said specific number stored in the terminal (see pg. 12, lines 5-8).

Referring to Claim 11, Kaisto also teaches storing in advance in the memory of the terminal a reference file, which is for its essential parts identical to said notification message stored in the memory of the terminal (see pg. 14, lines 24-35 and pg. 15, lines 1-3);

said identification of notification messages is carried out by comparing the content of the messages stored in the memory of the terminal to the content of said reference message (see pg. 12, lines 25-35 and pg. 13, line 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/696,646

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claim 12, Kaisto also teaches said notification message transmitted to and stored in the terminal comprising a message structure and in its message structure, a control bit pattern, which control bit pattern is used for identifying notification messages originating from the system outside the terminal (see pg. 15, lines 4-17).

Refering to Claim 13, Kaisto also teaches the system outside the terminal is one of the following: voice mail system; video recording message system; multimedia messaging system; fax mailbox service; remove mail service (see ABSTRACT).

Referring to Claim 14, Kaisto also teaches the notification message as one of the following: SMS message; WAP message; message according a packet switched protocol (see pg. 13, lines 18-20).

Referring to Claim 15, Kasper also teaches the terminal as one of the following: telephone of a cellular network; computer terminal (see ABSTRACT and fig. 1).

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasper and Kaisto in view of Narusawa (US 2002/0006781).

Referring to Claim 5, the combination of Kasper and Kaisto does not teach said specific procedure in response to which said notification message is erased, is one of the following: initiation of contacting said specific address, establishment of contact; disconnecting. Narusawa also teaches said specific procedure in response to which said notification message is erased, is one of the following: initiation of contacting said specific address, establishment of contact; disconnecting (see pg. 4, par. [0060]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2682

invention was made to provide the teachings of Narusawa to said method of Kasper in order to better assure that a subscriber of a cellular network receives all waiting

messages.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (703) 305-

2689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:30pm Alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4700.

Eugene Yun Examiner

Art Unit 2682

EY